

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/699,967	GLOMBIK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623

**All Participants:**

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. James Bolcsak.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed the attorney of record that if the term prophylaxis is deleted from all the claims in which it appears it might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. The attorney agreed to delete the said term and authorized an Examiner's amendment for the same..